

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,048	03/25/2004	Wayne Robens	17771-298587	5772
25764	7590 07/26/2006		EXAMINER	
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IS, MN 55402		1762	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/809,048	ROBENS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alain L. Bashore	1762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	lay 2006.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	,— ···					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>50 and 53-60</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
_	6) Claim(s) 50 and 53-60 is/are rejected.					
7)[8)[_	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
0)	are subject to restriction arrange	, closusti raquitationia				
Applicat	ion Papers					
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau	•	i da in ino rianonar etage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	red.			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4-26-06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 50, 60 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The negative limitation: "the beams do not touch one another regardless of the distance to the target surface" is not found in the originally filed specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 50, 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bramwell et al in view of Bautista and Welte.

Bramwell et al discloses a method of positioning a spray gun at a desired distance from a target surface. A pair of spots are illuminated by first and second laser light beams emitted from spaced apart locations n a spray guns angled and offset sufficiently such that the beams do not touch one another regardless of the distance to the target surface (col 2, lines 50-68; col 3, lines 1-27). The two spots form an alignment pattern, and there is caused delivery of coating material to the target surface by keeping the spots in a predetermined alignment pattern.

There is not disclosed:

that the first and second beams are angled to approach one other; and, that the light beams are visible light.

Welte discloses positioning light beams as visible light (col 3, lines 55-67).

Art Unit: 1762

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include visible light beams because Welte discloses errors is positioning (col 1, lines 17-30) and the primary reference is also concerned with positioning error.

Bautista discloses first and second beams are angled to approach one () for target placement.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to further include first and second beams angled to approach one because Bautista teaches plane orientation important in target recognition (col 1, lines 40-45).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4-26-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Bramwell et al teaches that the relationship between the spots is relevant (col 4, lines 18-19).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,048 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information . system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762